

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,010	02/03/2004	John Wesley Swafford JR.	11610.00095	4094
23508 7550 BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD. TEN SOUTH WACKER DRIVE			EXAMINER	
			BUCHANAN, CHRISTOPHER R	
SUITE 3000 CHICAGO, IL	.60606		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3627	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary Examiner
Examiner CHRISTOPHER R. BUCHANAN CHRISTOPHER R. BUCHANAN 3627 The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
CHRISTOPHER R. BUCHANAN 3627 - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.736(a). In no event, however, may a reply be teriley fined. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SNX (8) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANCONED (35 U.S.C, § 133). Any reply received by the Office laster than three months after the maining date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any carried patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be teriley fined - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory prefixed with property of the prope
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mealing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the macrimum statutory period will apply and with expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the maining date of this communication. - Any reply received by the Clorical start than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any samed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO perford reply is spiced above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (3S U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office laster than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 01 December 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 December 2008</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is
Disposition of Claims
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1.3.4.6-14.16-22.24-36 and 41-49 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a), Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
Attachment(s)
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Anformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informati Patent Application Paper Not) Mail Date 2/19/08 6) Other: Use Paper Information Use Paper Informat

Application/Control Number: 10/772,010 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 1, 2008 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-14, 16-22, 24-36, and 41-49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.
 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mignault (US 6,269,285) in view of Ali (2005/0279722).

Regarding claims 1, 13, 17, 21, 26, 31, 34, 38, 41, 45, and 48, Mignault discloses a system for inventory management on a shelf including a pusher assembly (80, 82, Fig. 3), a locator device (102, Fig. 5, permanent magnet, col. 5 line 58+) to provide the position of the pusher assembly on the shelf (see abstract), a sensor assembly (84, 86,

Application/Control Number: 10/772,010

Art Unit: 3627

88, etc., Fig. 3, col. 5 line 55+) to detect the position of the pusher assembly by scanning the locator device and determining a code (col. 6 line 37-40, logic output signals) based on the scanned signals and to transmit the code representative of the pusher position for further processing, and a processing device (136, computer) configured to receive the transmitted code (see abstract, col. 6 line 40+), wherein the processing device is configured to receive the transmitted code, provide notification concerning the position of the pusher assembly (product stack height, abstract, col. 6 line 21-54), and to order additional inventory in response to the received code (col. 3 line 43+, col. 6 line 48+). The system of Mignault does not show the pusher assembly position data to be processed to determine if there is a deviation in the inventory data, however, there is a variety of post-processing that could be performed on the pusher data and the particular post-processing performed would be a matter of design choice since it does not affect the nature or functioning of the invention and does not solve any stated problem or serve any particular purpose.

The system of Mignault differs from the claimed invention in that the locator device is not shown to be an indicia strip containing a pattern of bits.

Ali discloses a system for inventory management on a shelf including a pusher assembly (abstract, 26, Fig. 6, par. 75), an indicia strip containing a pattern of bits (40, encoder strip with vertical bars, Fig. 7, 8, par. 77-78) to provide the position of the pusher assembly on the shelf (abstract, par. 77-79), and a sensor assembly (46, 48, Fig. 8, par. 78-79) to detect the position of the pusher assembly by scanning the indicia strip.

Art Unit: 3627

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Mignault so that the locator device is an indicia strip containing a pattern of bits, as taught by Ali, to provide a simple and effective optically-based means for determining the pusher assembly location which does not require adjustment when storing different sized items (par. 74, Ali).

Furthermore, in the examiner's view the particular structure and design of the locator device on the pusher would be a matter of design choice since it does not affect the nature or functioning of the invention and does not solve any stated problem or serve any particular purpose.

Regarding claim 3, wireless transmission is well-known in the art. Regarding claim 4, the sensor assembly and computer are connected over a network (see Fig. 6). Regarding claims 6-11, a variety of sensors and indicia strips are available having various features/designs and the particular selection would be a matter of design choice. Regarding claim 12, the computer receives data in real time. Regarding the remaining dependent claims, the features of the invention recited in these claims has already been addressed in the rejection above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 4, 6-14, 16-22, 24-36, and 41-49 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,010 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to CHRISTOPHER R. BUCHANAN whose telephone

number is (571)272-8134. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00am

- 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on 571-272-6790. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status

information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For

more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you

have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business

Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO

Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call

800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C R B /

Examiner, Art Unit 3627

/F. Ryan Zeender/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627